



ACADEMIC AUDIT GUIDELINES FOR THE AUDIT PANEL

(2021-2022 onwards)

The Academic Audit, like more conventional program reviews, is a peer review process including a self-study and a site visit by peer members from within and outside the institution. Unlike the traditional approach to program evaluation, this process emphasizes self-reflection and self-improvement rather than compliance with predetermined standards. The purpose of an academic audit is to give poise to the departments or programs to weigh up their "educational quality processes" - the key faculty activities required to produce, assure, and regularly improve the quality of teaching and learning. An audit asks how faculty approach educational decision making and how they organize their work, using the resources available to them and working collegially to provide a quality education in the best interests of the discipline and student learning.

1. Audit panel members should verify the acad	emic aspects based on the seven parameters
given below:	

S.N.	Audit Parameter	Explanation	Required Documentation
1	Curriculum	a. The syllabus is covered	Soft/hard copy of Internal
	content:	through adequate number of	Academic Audit form,
		lectures assigned course	soft/hard copies of
		/programme.	attendance sheet/report
		b. Syllabus is revised as per the	Copy of the Programme
		feedback from stakeholders.	Syllabus
2	Teaching-	a. Teaching-Learning Pedagogies	Soft/hard copy of sample
	Learning:	used to achieve the outcomes	documents of all Teaching-
	(Theory/Practical)	of the course/programme and	Learning Methods employed
		also to address the needs of	across courses in the
		Multiple learners in the	programme
		concerned course/Programme	
		b. Innovation in Teaching-	Soft/hard copy of sample
		Learning in the concerned	documents of all the
		course/programme: Any	innovations employed across
		Unique Teaching-learning	courses in the programme
		pedagogies not covered in	
		point 2 (a)	

		c. Research Publication of	Soft/hard copy of publication
		Teaching Learning (if any)	
3	Outcome of the	a. Evaluation methods used to	Soft/hard copy of Report of
	Course's/Program	meet the outcomes of the	Evaluation Methods and
	me:	course/programme	Sample Documents of
			Evaluations employed across
			courses in the programme
		b. Innovation in Evaluations	Sample Documents of all
		- Any Unique Evaluation	the innovations employed
		methods not covered in point 3	across courses in the
		(a)	programme
		c. Research Publication of	Soft/hard copy of publication
		innovations in Evaluations	
4	Seminars and	Organisation of Guest Talks,	Soft/hard copy of the reports
	Workshops	Seminars, Workshops for the	
		Curriculum enrichment	
5	Projects	Final year Research Projects by	Soft/hard copy of the project
	Undertaken	students and the outcomes	report/s and research papers
		(Publication or Presentation in	(if any)
		conference/ seminars)	
6	Infrastructure	Use of laboratory, processes	Physical Verification of the
	(Laboratory)	followed and the Outcomes of the	laboratory and the processes
		Use	followed
7	Feedback	The Collection of Feedback from	Consolidated Report
	Systems	students	
	•		

- 2. The panel members should evaluate the programme on the basis of the parameters and give valuable inputs in the form provided.
- 3. Action plan based on the external audit report by the Head of the Department to be prepared and submitted after the academic audit.
